Chairman Himes, Ranking Member Steil, and distinguished members of the House Committee on Economic Disparity & Fairness in Growth, thank you for the invitation to testify as part of today’s discussion on critical infrastructure, particularly the deployment, adoption, and use of high-speed broadband networks. I am Dr. Nicol Turner Lee, Senior Fellow, Governance Studies and Director of the Center for Technology Innovation at the Brookings Institution. With a history of over 100 years, Brookings is committed to evidenced-based, nonpartisan research in a range of focus areas. My research encompasses data collection and analysis around regulatory and legislative policies that govern telecommunications and high-tech industries, along with the impacts of broadband access, the digital divide, artificial intelligence, and machine-learning algorithms on vulnerable consumers. My forthcoming book, *Digitally invisible: How the internet is creating the new underclass* (Brookings, 2022), addresses these topics and more. Today, I come before you with my own opinions.

Let me start my testimony by applauding the White House and Congress for prioritizing efforts to close the digital divide and positioning high-speed broadband alongside other critical
infrastructure assets like water, transport, and electricity. The global pandemic has surfaced the importance of online connectivity as millions obliged the calls for physical social distancing and transitioned online for remote work, school, health care, government services, and regular communications with friends and family members. As technology becomes more ubiquitously available and affordable for Americans of all socio-economic levels, it will become a game changer for how citizens transact and interact in their daily lives, and will be foundational to the development of inclusive economic growth in the U.S.

Our nation’s other critical infrastructure, including water, transport, and electrical systems, also depends on the availability of robust broadband networks. Smart infrastructure that embeds technology into energy, water, waste, and transport systems has revitalized these grids, reduced environmental impacts, provided greater longevity to aging and eroding assets, and optimized investments and operating expenses through modernization. Concurrently, more and more citizens, businesses, governments, and other institutions are being transformed and, in some cases, disrupted by existing and emerging digital innovations as routine and essential activities migrate online, from online banking to telehealth.

In my testimony, I express that this is a historic time for our nation’s degrading infrastructure with the additive component of broadband, which has been appropriated $65 billion of the $1.2 trillion 2021 Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA). The monies toward broadband will be the largest-ever digital infrastructure investment since the 2009 American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) that allocated $4.7 billion toward online access.¹ The

National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) of the U.S. Department of Commerce, along with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), are poised to distribute these resources to address the gaps in digital access, along with inequalities in adoption and use. I plan to share two points of interest with the committee: (a) why broadband infrastructure must be equitably deployed in both rural and urban areas; and (b) why closing the digital divide must be prioritized to promote an inclusive, digital ecosystem. My testimony will conclude with three proposals that the federal government should consider as actionable items going forward, such as remaining technology-neutral in solutions that address rural and urban broadband divides, ensuring broadband infrastructure complements and modernizes other national and local infrastructure assets, and positioning digital equity as a fundamental component of the decision-making around where and to whom broadband infrastructure is deployed.

I.Broadband is Critical Infrastructure

In 2021, America’s infrastructure was graded a “C-“ by the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE).\(^2\) Despite private industry investments in national and regional broadband networks, the ASCE pointed to the declining quality of speed, disparities in online access (e.g., among school-age children), and the increasing need for more infrastructure to accommodate emerging technologies like 5G as reasons for the less than ideal provision of broadband networks and services.\(^3\) Since 2015, the FCC has defined “broadband” as 25 megabytes per


\(^3\) Ibid.
second (Mbps) down/3 Mbps up as the minimum standard of service, an area that has been recently challenged by lawmakers and other broadband advocates who want to see the definition changed to symmetrical speeds of 100 Mbps down, especially after millions of school-age children moved to remote learning.⁴

During the pandemic, rural and urban areas alike needed high-speed broadband access to promote better outcomes in public safety, reliability, and economic growth. In its 2020 Economic Impact Report, Yelp found that approximately 163,175 businesses had closed by August 2020, six months after COVID-19’s peak; 60% of which were permanent closures.⁵ Minority- and women-owned businesses were the most disproportionately impacted. Between February and April 2020, the New York Federal Reserve Bank found that businesses owned and operated by African Americans were twice more likely to close compared to White-owned businesses due to weaker financial assets and their concentration in local markets hardest hit by the pandemic.⁶

Generally, small businesses were not prepared for the rapid shift to digital operations – whether on an operational or consumer-facing level. Before the pandemic, only 46% of small
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businesses had an online presence. These numbers have since increased as more small businesses are joining larger industries in the adoption and use of digital tools, including online payment systems and other internet-enabled applications and collaboration resources. But several industry sectors in the U.S. economy continue to be impacted by disruptive digital systems, including retail, transportation, and hospitality, due to declining in-person transactions.

On the networks side, more advanced broadband networks like 5G and satellite can improve user experiences, and enable more entrepreneurial and enterprising functions of the internet, including advanced manufacturing, autonomous vehicles, smart home devices, telehealth monitors, agricultural or environmental sensors, virtual reality systems, and more—when and where the capacity exists. On 5G alone, the World Economic Forum estimates that access to the technology could produce $13.2 trillion and create an additional 22.3 million jobs globally.

Digital resilience has also been evidenced in the public sector. From the onset of the pandemic, federal and state government agencies accelerated their deployment and use of virtual platforms, which have enabled a plethora of applications, transactions, and other services online. From the completion of unemployment applications to driver license renewals, more citizens are prompted to go online for routine and critical government functions,
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including scheduling vaccinations or ordering COVID-19 test kits. In December 2021, the White House issued an executive order calling for the modernization of government services via technologies “...that are simple to use, accessible, equitable, protective, transparent, and responsive for all people of the United States.”

But these aspirations for the private and public sectors are not attainable without available and accessible broadband infrastructure in both rural and urban areas. Digital innovations will not benefit citizens if they lack sufficient infrastructure in the places where they live.

*Rural broadband access*

Despite decades of assorted investments, broadband deployment in rural areas has been a pressing problem, resulting in populations – including those on tribal lands - being deprived of internet access on a large-scale basis. Universal service programs relying on outdated funding formulas have disincentivized private sector investments in areas where there often have “more cows than people.” Other difficulties, including proximity to telecom facilities and topographical challenges, also present themselves in rural broadband deployments, making these areas more expensive and technologically complicated to connect, particularly in the absence of fiber optic networks. According to former FCC strategist Paul DeSa, bringing broadband into rural areas would cost the U.S. nearly $80 billion to reach 80-100%
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of the national rural footprint — a number which is much larger than the current IIJA appropriation.  

According to the FCC’s 2019 deployment report, 17.3% of rural residents (11.26 million people) lack access to fixed terrestrial broadband. A 10-percentage point difference of the FCC numbers has been reported by a 2021 Broadband Now report, which suggests that access to high-speed broadband is probably worse than federally reported and that at least 17.6 million rural residents lack access to fixed terrestrial broadband. Broadband access for those living in the Black rural South is even more alarming, at 62% compared to the 77% national average of home broadband rates. On top of this, over 30 million Americans live in geographic locations that lack broadband infrastructure at “minimally acceptable speeds”, with 35 percent of them living in rural areas, according to the White House.

In 2019, I traveled to several rural communities as part of the research for my forthcoming book. One of the rural communities that I visited was Garrett County, Maryland, with an estimated population of nearly 29,000 people who live among a land area of 647 square miles, less than 47 people per square mile. There, I met a local tire shop owner whose
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reliance on textbook queries of manufacturers meant that product deliveries took weeks, sometimes even months. Before subscribing to a commercial provider participating in Microsoft’s Airband initiative, he never imagined that getting the internet through television white spaces would lead to faster fulfillments and less tedious product searches.¹⁸ Local case workers expressed the same urgency for broadband connectivity during my interviews with them. These professionals regularly traveled more than two hours to a client’s home, then returned to the main office in the city of Oakland to update their files, resulting in significant delays and inefficiencies in monitoring and following up. Throughout the pandemic, these and other scenarios were made worse in places like Garrett County and other similar rural communities, justifying why the nation needs immediate solutions for addressing the rural broadband divide, especially the lack of available and contiguous infrastructure.¹⁹

_Urban Broadband Access_

But the connectivity challenges of rural communities do not override those upending the lives of residents in America’s cities. In 2019, broadband expert John Horrigan argued that the number of disconnected households in urban areas is three times that of rural areas.²⁰ Low-income communities of color are particularly impacted in large cities, especially among clusters where the elderly and/or disabled live.²¹ While large metropolitan areas may have a plethora of
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internet service providers (ISPs), they still struggle with the affordability of monthly broadband service and its personal relevance to their daily lives.\(^{22}\) Digital disparities in some urban areas also mirror other existing systemic inequalities experienced by historically disadvantaged and other vulnerable populations, like poverty, housing insecurity, among other things. According to 2021 data from the Pew Foundation, only 57% of adults earning less than $30,000 a year have access to broadband internet, compared to 94% of upper-income families.\(^{23}\) Only 18% of adults owned a device that they could use to access the internet.\(^{24}\) While the urban-rural divide for internet subscriptions persists, it is still \textit{smaller} than the broadband gap between wealthier and poorer households.\(^{25}\)

Access to stable housing can also be a determinant of access to high-speed broadband networks in some urban communities. Today in the U.S., 1.2 million people live in “public housing”, which includes federally assisted, scattered-site, FHA–back or insured, and low-income, senior residences, managed by 3,300 housing authorities.\(^{26}\) Many of these households and units do not have access to high-speed broadband and are blocked from accessing the

\(^{22}\) Ibid.


critical resources and tools of our 21st century economy. Without internet, public housing residents can’t do what others do online, like apply for jobs, utilize distance learning, and access health care, among other things. For decades, and largely due to systemic racism and discrimination, places where public housing exist have also been intentionally redlined on the other side of expressways or railroad tracks. The consequences of housing redlining have led to community disinvestment and invisibility of entire populations by city governments, middle- and sometimes working-class people who rarely interact with them.

Of late, pockets within some of our nation’s largest cities have become less desirable for emerging innovation, or the last in line to benefit.27 In addition to enhancing the supply of broadband infrastructure into these areas, state and local leaders must also strengthen local digital infrastructure, like schools, libraries, housing, churches, and other anchor institutions that drive the relevance of the internet among residents in their communities through awareness and digital literacy training. During the pandemic, there was a multitude of front-page news stories about the rise of “digital parking lots” at local establishments used by K-12 students impacted by the “homework gap.”28 But such occurrences were happening before the pandemic in cities like Hartford, Connecticut where Black K-12 students were congregating on the stoops of fast-food restaurants to tap into their free Wi-Fi.29 In my own book research, I
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learned about K-12, college students, and even educators tapping into the limited open access points in their communities to complete research assignments or get online for work.\(^{30}\)

Whether trapped by the lack of broadband infrastructure within housing, schools, or other local institutions, rural and urban areas unequivocally need more access. Their only differences are in the solutions that get carried out, which should not lead to competing infrastructure interests and investments between rural and urban areas.

II. **Closing the Digital Divide is the First Priority**

Historically, the concept of “digital divide” dates back to the early 2000s, when former NTIA Assistant Secretary, Larry Irving coined the term, offering a then-binary view into the disparate access to technology in the U.S.\(^{31}\) During that time, the digital divide depicted those with or without access to the internet, a compatible device, or both. Digital literacy programs were critical because some policymakers and advocates believed that poor people needed computer basics, like how to use email and word processing programs.

Over time, the need for computer skills has grown exponentially with the advent of modern-day technology and its related disrupters like social media, which have changed the way individuals interact. Yet policymakers have still failed to bridge the digital divide, despite having previously expended resources to accelerate broadband deployment and adoption in unserved communities – mainly the 2009 ARRA monies. Policymakers, and some advocates for digital inclusion, have also esteemed program models that have not scaled digital progress.
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decades before the pandemic. But even with IIJA funding, the U.S. might still fail to address digital disparities without a clear definition of the problems being solved, and the absence of input from local stakeholders who understand the economic and social conditions of their communities. These are all points that I recently made in an op-ed in the Hill. 32

Unfortunately, these old paradigms applied to contemporary digital challenges present a race to the bottom framework that works against more democratized access to broadband. For example, having more access to affordable broadband and an internet-enabled device could have enabled more efficient and widely available vaccination scheduling or sustained remote health care for digitally disenfranchised groups. But even better for disconnected populations is access to some type of digital navigator, a person who understands how these applications work and can accelerate technology adoption in their respective communities.

During the pandemic, the growing number of people without broadband access persisted despite the increasing use of online resources. In April 2020, 87% of American adults reported that the Internet had been important or essential during the COVID-19 outbreak.33 In October 2020—seven months into the pandemic—an estimated 71 percent of employed adults worked remotely in the United States, up from approximately 20 percent prior to the public health crisis.34 From 2020 to 2021, the number of virtual telehealth appointments skyrocketed


with an estimated 78-times increase.\textsuperscript{35} Meanwhile, numerous aspects of people’s daily and social lives were adapted into digital experiences, including family gatherings, public events, religious or cultural traditions, dating, and funeral memorials.\textsuperscript{36} In part, if not in whole, the transition to online services will continue even after we move into endemic survival, and it is slated to leave further behind low-income, rural, and older consumers already struggling to get and remain online.\textsuperscript{37}

However, in certain use cases like education and telehealth, the breadth and depth of the digital divide further revealed itself. More than nine million K-12 students were reportedly without home broadband service and an internet-enabled device at the onset of the pandemic across rural and urban areas. In some low-income households, one device was shared among multiple siblings. Getting students equipped with the tools necessary for remote learning, including broadband service and an internet-enabled device, was wildly complicated among large, metropolitan school districts, and now haunts Black, Latinx, and indigenous students whose connectivity challenges during two academic calendar years are showing up in quantifiable learning losses, mental health challenges, and deepened systemic and educational


disparities. According to a study of 5.5 million public school students in third through eighth grade who were assessed in the 2020-2021 school year, those from majority Black or Latinx schools were six months behind their normal math goals, compared to four months for white students.

On the flip side, telehealth adoption and use flourished over the course of the pandemic, positively improving care for medically underserved patients where providers were previously unavailable to them. With certain segments of the population lacking sufficient health coverage or proximity to quality hospitals or medical providers, telehealth became the norm during the pandemic and has been widely supported by the Biden-Harris administration. In August 2021, the White House granted over $19 million via the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services to eligible recipients for improved remote care in rural and underserved communities. To date, the FCC’s Connected Care Pilot Program has announced its third wave of grants, which now total more than $69.3 million invested in projects serving patients in 36 states, plus the District of Columbia. But like remote learning, telehealth requires broadband access, which many populations can’t utilize at this point. The CDC reported that health centers in the South and rural areas continue to report the lowest average percentage of weekly
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telehealth visits.\textsuperscript{41} Unequal access to healthcare has further marginalized vulnerable populations and exacerbated existing health disparities, which made them more susceptible to the coronavirus in its early stages.

Finally, the digital divide complicates participation in the existing and emerging communications labor force for populations without broadband access. As job postings have moved online over the years, a lack of or limited internet access has made it difficult for job seekers to participate in the labor market.\textsuperscript{42} For employed individuals with limited internet access, remote work has proven to be difficult, with many forced to work in the “digital parking lots” or troubled by unstable connections during work hours.\textsuperscript{43} Having quality broadband infrastructure relies upon an established training pipeline and workforce. According to a new report from the Progressive Policy Institute, over four million jobs will be 5G or related technology opportunities, and they will have higher than average wages.\textsuperscript{44} However, not enough workers are currently trained to fill these positions, especially from under-served labor markets. National skilling policies are needed to build a workforce that fills these positions.\textsuperscript{45} To promote more inclusive economic growth, the creation of good-paying broadband infrastructure jobs is a start, followed by the establishment of formidable job training

programs, including apprenticeships and industry credentialling in more lucrative careers of 5G installers, data analysts, customer service representatives, and more.

When addressing workforce development, we should also confront the limitations in under-served and under-represented labor markets, where creative strategies like a “digital service corps” or “learn and earn” models might imbue the appropriate training incentives. When former president Franklin Delano Roosevelt constructed the Civilian Conservation Corps (CCC) in the 1930s, his goal was to employ millions of young people in the restoration of the country’s parks as part of the broad economic recovery plans. A new, national “digital service corps” could be modeled after the CCC with oversight by the Corporation for National and Community Service to improve upon the tech pipeline, furthering the adoption, utilization, and expansion of broadband infrastructure.46

I argue in my research that “the severity of the digital divide goes beyond the usual analogy of a three-legged stool – broadband availability, affordability, and digital literacy.”47 Rather, closing the digital divide must be about addressing poverty, geographic isolation, and social isolation – premises that I strongly assert in my forthcoming book. As the nation moves toward more inclusive economic growth, federal and state governments must position digital equity as a “hand up” instead of a paternalistic “hand out” to mitigate digital disparities.48 That is, government agencies must partner with anchor institutions, other community partners, and

48 Ibid.
industry to work toward the institutionalization and intuitive familiarity of digital use within urban and rural communities. In this vein, efforts to close the digital divide should result in people and their communities being moved from consumers to producers and innovators, who are prepared to accelerate our nation’s global digital competitiveness.

The arguments made in my testimony amplify why America needs a fair and equitable approach to broadband infrastructure deployments in rural, urban, and tribal areas; one that aggressively works to simultaneously close the digital divide through increased access to online services and newly created jobs. I will wrap up my testimony with three salient takeaways that Congress can do now to ensure that our forthcoming investments are not done in haste and waste.

III. Ensuring Broadband as Part of Inclusive Economic Growth

1. Maintain technology neutrality and focus on regional broadband development to generate more tailored solutions for rural and urban areas.

In 2016, the Obama administration announced a $160 million “Smart Cities” Initiative to encourage research and collaborations in tackling regional problems. These initiatives permitted locals to define their own needs and formulate community-led solutions, instead of imposing one-size-fits-all proposals across multiple cities. The “smart cities” movement often refers to human-centered initiatives, where technology is leveraged to improve existing services and generate new economic opportunities. Countries such as Korea, Japan, Canada and more have launched smart city initiatives as governments seek the creation of a more inclusive

future for all. The expansion of broadband infrastructure may require a similar approach to avoid competitive interests and needs of rural, urban, and tribal communities. All communities will have different service needs, which will require access to fiber optics but not always as the first-choice option. With the availability of existing and emerging technologies like 5G, satellite, cable, TV White Spaces, and the assortment of wireline and wireless capabilities, states need to be the ones to determine what sets of technical connections, even if hybrid, work best for the communities being served. A report by the Richmond Federal Reserve explored technical approaches that sidestep the need for wired connections, mainly through fixed wireless or satellite service where laying fiber to every home may not be necessary. In the report, they highlighted the Shenandoah Telecommunications Company (Shentel), whose BEAM Internet product targets low-density, rural areas within the company’s service area and doesn’t rely upon fiber for unlimited, high-speed internet connections.

In addition to technical agnosticism, public-private partnerships that facilitate regional broadband development can be critical drivers in expanding broadband resources in both urban and rural areas. In January 2021, Arizona State University hosted its Smart Region Summit, which focused on ways to improve data collection and transparency, increase efficiency, and optimize infrastructure across cities and regions to meet local needs. The project aims to

intersect infrastructure and digital inclusion to create futureproofed systems that build virtual
bridges between areas, and roll out smart-enabled technologies serving local citizens,
businesses, government, and other institutions. More work should be replicated around a
smart regional approach to broadband infrastructure, which can catapult aligned regional
interests within and across state lines.

2 - **Broadband must be complementary to other national and local infrastructure.**

Broadband can also help to mitigate the risks associated with climate change and other
environmental concerns through better managed public transportation systems, or drone
surveillance of land masses. With access to high-capacity connectivity, states and cities can
deploy sensors, satellites, and other smart devices necessary to achieve large-scale community
objectives. In North Carolina, the Roanoke Electric Cooperative has used smart thermostats and
water heaters to optimize their peak water and electricity periods and reduce overall utility
usage.\(^{54}\) Energy companies like BP estimate that smart meters and sensors, enabled by high-
speed broadband networks, could potentially reduce electricity usage in the U.S. by more than
25 percent by 2050.\(^{55}\) However, communities that lack high-speed broadband infrastructure
risk being looped out of accurate climate data collection, and are likely to be victimized by
tragic natural disasters or skyrocketing energy costs. With higher-capacity broadband
infrastructure, more cities and even smaller rural towns can support smart devices and the
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\(^{54}\) Curtis Wynn, “The State of Infrastructure in Rural America: Testimony at House Committee on Agriculture,”
agriculture-infrastructure.

communications necessary to upgrade their public transportation systems or roads, improving safety and reducing traffic congestion in the long term.

On a more granular local level, public housing infrastructure should also be equipped with high-speed broadband access. In this case, federal agencies can effectively target broadband services to its units through retrofitting or setting requirements for broadband installations within every housing unit receiving or subsidized by federal monies. The IIJA allocates funding for “community anchor institutions,” which does mention public housing authorities and support organizations. It also calls for better data collection on broadband service in public or federally assisted housing units. These measures alone are important and should be highlighted, as existing and future housing must be digitally resilient, and able to connect residents with the resources that can improve their economic livelihoods.

3 – States’ broadband infrastructure plans must position equity as its main priority.

Finally, technology affords us the opportunities to dismiss boundaries of place, people, and purposes in an ideal world. Given the disproportionate access to broadband and the platforms and applications that it enables, it is important to presuppose equity in the decisions that are made around where to build and who to serve – at least, in the first iteration of broadband build-out and digital equity programs. First, we must create opportunities to study the demographics of existing broadband adoption trends and intersect these findings with the systemic challenges that plague communities of color, as well as people from rural, older, disabled, tribal, and other marginalized circumstances. Second, the U.S. needs a reformation and modernization of how universal service is defined and apportioned to communities in need, which can fully unleash the capacities of the digital economy for all segments of society.
Third, individuals—regardless of their educational status—should be able to fruitfully work and earn in the existing and emerging communications ecosystems or be offered opportunities to learn the necessary skills.

These and other insights should push legislators to ensure that national broadband maps are being concurrently updated as broadband infrastructure is being rolled out, and agencies like the NTIA and FCC have established metrics and goals to track for equitable outcomes. My own research has offered various equity frameworks to confront America’s digital divide, from asserting a “Tech New Deal”\(^{56}\) that is a more comprehensive approach to aspects of the Bipartisan Infrastructure Bill, to suggesting that “No Child Be Left Offline,”\(^{57}\) which would ensure that every K-12 student is prepared for basic digital adoption and use with options for affordable broadband service, an internet-enabled device, hot spot, and relevant training resources for parents and other caregivers.

Under a portion of the IIJA, states are obliged to establish digital equity plans as part of the digital inclusion portion of the bill, or the Digital Equity Act of 2021, which appropriates $2.75 billion through the State Digital Equity Capacity Grant Program and the Digital Equity Competitive Grant Program.\(^{58}\) But I implore state leaders to exercise this same cadence as part of the larger $65 billion allocation and establish digital equity goals around infrastructure
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deployments. Having equity at the core of states’ planning will motivate leaders to better identify the problems and the stakeholders who need to be at the table representing digitally disconnected constituents, like school and community leaders, as well as other practitioners and community activists.

Chairman Himes, Ranking Member Steil, and distinguished members of the House Committee on Economic Disparity & Fairness in Growth, my testimony should amplify that investing in broadband goes beyond cell towers, satellite dishes, or fiber optic conduits. It is about investments in people and their communities where quality access to existing and futureproofed technologies contribute to their economic growth and personal livelihoods.

Thank you again to the Members of the Committee on Economic Disparity & Fairness in Growth for the opportunity to testify, and I look forward to your questions. I also want to thank Brookings researchers Samantha Lai, James Seddon, Caitlin Chin, and Mauricio Baker, for their assistance in preparing my statement.